- 1 -

10cv2297

Case 3:10-cv-02297-BEN-WVG Document 4 Filed 12/06/10 PageID.14 Page 1 of 3

///

///

To proceed IFP, Plaintiff need not show that he is completely destitute. *Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339-40 (1948). He must, however, demonstrate his indigence with "some particularity, definiteness, and certainty." *United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (per curiam).

Plaintiff has not met this burden. Plaintiff does not indicate whether he is employed; rather he states that the question is not applicable. (Motion to Proceed IFP at 2.) He also fails to explain the source of funds for his day-to-day expenses. (Motion to Proceed IFP at 3.) As a result, Plaintiff has not demonstrated his indigence with certainty. *See McQuade*, 647 F.2d at 940. Accordingly, his motion to proceed IFP must be denied.

Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP is **DENIED**.

II. Sua Sponte Review

Even if Plaintiff paid the filing fee or had sufficiently demonstrated his indigence, his Complaint would still be dismissed. The Court is obligated to screen all cases filed IFP to determine if the case "fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); see also Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (finding "section 1915(e) not only permits, but requires a district court to dismiss an in forma pauperis complaint that fails to state a claim"). "A district court may deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit." Minetti v. Port of Seattle, 152 F.3d 1113, 1115 (9th Cir. 1998). The provisions of § 1915(e)(2)(B) are not limited to prisoners. Calhoun v. Stahl, 254 F.3d 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001).

Plaintiff's Complaint does not state a claim for relief. Plaintiff just states a series of unfortunate circumstances he has encountered or that are afflicting him in one paragraph. There is no explanation how these circumstances give rise to a cause of action or how any of the circumstances relate to the named Defendant. Because the Complaint fails to state any semblance of a cognizable claim, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP. The Complaint is **DISMISSED** without **prejudice**.

- 2 -

III. Leave to Amend

Courts generally grant leave to amend unless amendment would be futile. *Townsend v. Univ. of Alaska*, 543 F.3d 478, 485 (9th Cir. 2008). Although the Court has serious doubts about Plaintiff's ability to state a claim, the Court will provide Plaintiff with an opportunity to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff is granted 45 days leave from the date of the entry of this order to reinstate the case by paying the \$350 filing fee or submitting an amended IFP application **and** filing a First Amended Complaint that cures the deficiencies identified by the Court and complies with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8. Specifically, the First Amended Complaint must provide "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." FED. R. CIV. PROC. 8(a)(2). Plaintiff should indicate any statutes implicated by Plaintiff's claims and the facts supporting each claim. Plaintiff must also indicate what relief he seeks from the court. FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a)(3). Additionally, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 15.1, Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint must be complete in itself without reference to the previously filed Complaint.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP and *sua* sponte **DISMISSES** the Complaint without prejudice. The case may only be reopened if Plaintiff pays the required filing fee or submits a complete IFP application and files a First Amended Complaint within **45 days** of the entry of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED,

DATED: /

Hon Roper T. Benitez United States District Judge